

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

WALDEMAR ALEXIS FELICIANO-
CRESPO,

Defendant.

CRIMINAL NO. 16-535 (GAG)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
RE: RULE 11(c)(1)(C) GUILTY PLEA HEARING

I. Procedural Background

On August 25, 2016, defendant Waldemar Alexis Feliciano-Crespo was charged in a two-count indictment. He agrees to plead guilty to a lesser-included offense charged in Count One.

Count One charges that on or about August 2, 2016, Mr. Feliciano knowingly attempted, in and affecting interstate or foreign commerce, to recruit, entice, obtain, or solicit by any means a minor female (over the age of 14 years) to be caused to engage in a commercial sex act, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, §1591(a).

Defendant appeared before me, assisted by the court interpreter, on July 26, 2017, since the Rule 11 hearing was referred by the court. See United States v. Woodard, 387 F.3d 1329 (11th Cir. 2004) (magistrate judge had authority to conduct Rule 11 guilty plea hearing with consent of defendant). He was advised of the purpose of the hearing and placed under oath with instructions that his answers must be truthful lest he would subject himself to possible charges of perjury or making a false statement.

II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge

Defendant was provided with a Waiver of Right to Trial by Jury form, which he signed.¹ He

¹ The form entitled Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge in a Felony Case for Pleading Guilty (Rule 11, Fed.R.Crim.P.) and Waiver of Jury Trial, signed and consented by both parties is made part of the record.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

confirmed that his attorney explained and translated the form. He was advised of his right to hold all proceedings, including the change of plea hearing, before a district court judge. He received an explanation of the differences between the scope of jurisdiction and functions of a district judge and a magistrate judge. He was informed that if he elects to proceed before a magistrate judge, then the magistrate judge will conduct the hearing and prepare a report and recommendation, subject to review and approval of the district judge, advising how to proceed. The defendant then voluntarily consented to proceed before a magistrate judge.

III. Proceedings Under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the defendant's right to trial, the guilty plea must be knowing and voluntary. United States v. Hernández-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1st Cir. 1999). "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of his plea.'" United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). There are three core concerns in a Rule 11 proceeding: 1) absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge of the consequences of the guilty plea. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d at 4 (citing United States v. Allard, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244 (1st Cir. 1991)).

A. Competence to Enter a Guilty Plea

This magistrate judge questioned the defendant about his age, education, employment, history of any treatment for mental illness or addiction, use of any medication, drugs, or alcohol, and his understanding of the purpose of the hearing, all in order to ascertain his capacity to understand, answer and comprehend the change of plea colloquy. The court confirmed that the defendant received the indictment and fully discussed the charges with his counsel and was satisfied with the advice and representation he received. The court further inquired whether defendant's counsel or counsel for the government had any doubt as to his capacity to plead, receiving answers from both that the defendant was competent to enter a plea. After considering the defendant's

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

responses, and observing his demeanor, a finding was made that Mr. Feliciano was competent to plead and fully aware of the purpose of the hearing.

B. Maximum Penalties

Upon questioning, the defendant expressed his understanding of the maximum penalties prescribed by statute for the lesser included offense to which he was pleading guilty, namely a term of imprisonment of at least ten years and up to life, a fine of up to \$250,000.00, and a supervised release term of not less than five years and up to life. The defendant was also advised that the court must impose a special monetary assessment of \$100.00, and a victim's fund assessment of \$5,000. The defendant was further informed that as a result of his guilty plea he would be required to register as a sex offender under applicable law, and an order of forfeiture would be entered. The defendant indicated that he understood the maximum penalties and the potential consequences of the guilty plea.

C. Plea Agreement

Mr. Feliciano was shown a written plea agreement and a supplement to the plea agreement (together, "Plea Agreement"), and identified his initials and his signatures. He confirmed that he had the opportunity to read and discuss the Plea Agreement with his attorney before he signed it, that his attorney explained it, that it represented the entirety of his understanding with the government, that he understood its terms, and that no one had made any other or different promises or assurances to induce him to plead guilty. The defendant was then admonished, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(C), and expressed his understanding, that the district judge who will preside over the sentencing hearing can reject the recommendation, permitting the defendant withdraw his guilty plea, or accept the plea agreement, at which point the court would be bound to impose a sentence in accord with its recommendation.

The defendant was specifically informed that the court would decide whether to accept or reject the plea agreement after considering the applicable Sentencing Guidelines, statutory sentencing factors, and a presentence investigation report.

The defendant was advised that, under some circumstances, he or the government may have

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

the right to appeal the sentence the court imposes. The defendant was further informed, and professed to understand, that the plea agreement contains a waiver of appeal under circumstances outlined therein. After being so informed, the defendant confirmed that he voluntarily waived his right to appeal his sentence and conviction provided the court accepts his Plea Agreement and sentences him according to its terms, conditions, and recommendations. The defendant also has agreed, as part of the plea agreement, to forfeit to the government all materials and property used or intended to be used in the commission of the offense charged in the indictment.

D. Waiver of Constitutional Rights

The defendant was specifically advised that he has the right to persist in a plea of not guilty, and if he does so persist that he has the right to a speedy and public trial by jury, or before a judge sitting without a jury if the court and the government so agree; that at trial he would be presumed innocent and the government would have to prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt; that he would have the right to assistance of counsel for his defense, and if he could not afford an attorney the court would appoint one to represent him throughout all stages of the proceedings; that at trial he would have the right to hear and cross examine the government's witnesses, the right to decline to testify unless he voluntarily elected to do so, and the right to the issuance of subpoenas or compulsory process to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify on his behalf. He was further informed that if he decided not to testify or put on evidence at trial, his failure to do so could not be used against him, and that at trial the jury must return a unanimous verdict before he could be found guilty.

The defendant specifically acknowledged understanding these rights, and understanding that by entering a plea of guilty there would be no trial and he will be waiving or giving up the rights that the court explained.

The defendant was informed that parole has been abolished and that any sentence of imprisonment must be served. Defendant was additionally informed that a pre-sentence report would be prepared and considered by the district judge before deciding whether or not to accept the plea agreement. Defendant was further admonished that his guilty plea, if accepted, may

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

deprive him of valuable civil rights, such as the right to vote, to hold public office, to serve on a jury, and to possess a firearm. The defendant confirmed that he understood these consequences of his guilty plea

E. Factual Basis for the Guilty Plea

Defendant was read in open court Count One of the indictment and provided an explanation of the elements of the offense. The meaning of terms used in the indictment was explained.

Upon questioning, the government presented to this magistrate judge and to defendant a summary of the basis in fact for the offenses charged in Count One and the evidence the government had available to establish, in the event defendant elected to go to trial, the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant was able to understand this explanation and admitted to the government's submission as to the evidence which could have been presented at trial.

F. Voluntariness

The defendant indicated that he was not being induced to plead guilty, but was entering such a plea freely and voluntarily because in fact he is guilty, and that no one had threatened him or offered him a thing of value in exchange for his plea. He acknowledged that no one had made any different or other promises in exchange for his guilty plea, other than the recommendations set forth in the Plea Agreement. Throughout the hearing the defendant was able to consult with his attorney.

IV. Conclusion

The defendant, by consent, appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and entered a plea of guilty as to a lesser offense included in Count One of the indictment.

After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, I find that the defendant, Waldemar Alexis Feliciano-Crespo, is competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense charged and the maximum statutory penalties that it carries, understands that the charge is supported by evidence and a basis in fact, has admitted to the elements of the offense, and has

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON GUILTY PLEA

done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea. Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to a lesser offense included in Count One of the indictment.

This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 72(d) of the Local Rules of this Court. Any objections to the same must be specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within fourteen (14) days of its receipt. Failure to file timely and specific objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by the district court. United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1st Cir. 1986).

A sentencing hearing has been set before District Judge Gustavo Gelpi for December 5, 2017 at 9:00 a.m.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 26th day of July, 2017.

s/Bruce J. McGiverin
BRUCE J. McGIVERIN
United States Magistrate Judge